

CSCI568

Discussion: Similarity Metrics

Dis/similarity Between Two Attributes

Type	Dissimilarity	Similarity
Nominal		
Ordinal		
Interval/Ratio		

Dis/similarities Between Data Objects

- Euclidean distance
- Pearson Correlation Coefficient
- Simple Matching Coefficient (SMC)
- Jaccard / Tanimoto
- Cosine Similarity
- Bregman Divergence

Minkowski Distance Metric

- General distance calculation
- $r=1$ “City Block”
- $r=2$ “Euclidean”
- $r=(\text{infinity})$ “Supremum” (think $\lim(r \rightarrow \text{inf.})$)

Euclidean Distance

Simple! Linear distance between two points.

$$d(x,y) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2}$$

x_k and y_k are values of k^{th} attribute
of objects x and y

Simple Matching Coefficient

Linear distance is good for many things,
but not necessarily binary data!

Simple Matching Coefficient

Simple!

$$\text{SMC} = \frac{\# \text{ of matching attributes}}{\# \text{ of attributes}}$$

$$\text{SMC} = \frac{f_{11} + f_{00}}{f_{01} + f_{10} + f_{11} + f_{00}}$$

Jaccard Coefficient

Simple!

$$\text{Jaccard} = \frac{\# \text{ of matching } \textit{present} \text{ attributes}}{\# \text{ of attributes w/ values } \textit{present}}$$

$$\text{Jaccard} = \frac{f_{11}}{f_{01} + f_{10} + f_{11}}$$

SMC vs. Jaccard

Like SMC, but for asymmetric binary attributes.
(we only care about presence)

Think: market basket data (sparse dataset, asymmetric/
binary attributes)

SMC --> most transactions are alike (everyone doesn't
purchase most items)

Jaccard --> only compares attributes w/ existing values

SMC / Jaccard Example

$$x = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$y = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$f_{01} = 2$$

$$f_{10} = 1$$

$$f_{00} = 7$$

$$f_{11} = 0$$

$$\text{SMC} = \frac{f_{11} + f_{00}}{f_{01} + f_{10} + f_{11} + f_{00}} = \frac{0+7}{2+1+0+7}$$

$$\text{Jaccard} = \frac{f_{11}}{f_{01} + f_{10} + f_{11}} = \frac{0}{2+1}$$

Cosine Similarity

Often used for document word-frequency.

$$\text{cos_sim}(x,y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{||x|| \ ||y||}$$

Cosine Similarity

Example

	cow	pig	dog	cat	log	bug	fox	ape	man	car
x	3	2	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0
y	1	2	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	2

$$x = (3, 2, 0, 5, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0)$$

$$y = (1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2)$$

$$x \cdot y = 3*1 \dots 2*0 + 0*0 + 5*0 + 0*0 \dots 2*1 \dots 0*2 = 5$$

$$||x|| = \text{sqrt}(3*3+2*2\dots) = 6.48$$

$$||y|| = \text{sqrt}(1*1+0*0\dots) = 2.24$$

Extended Jaccard aka Tanimoto Coefficient

(reduces to Jaccard for binary attributes)

jaccard() --> compute similarities of binary attributes

tanimoto() --> compute similarities of continuous
attributes

Extended Jaccard (Tanimoto Coefficient)

$$EJ(x,y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{||x||^2 + ||y||^2 - x \cdot y}$$

Pearson Correlation

Think: Like Euclidean, but corrects for “grade inflation.”

eg: Movie ratings. Some users consistently give more stars than others. Euclidean is ok, Pearson is better.

Pearson Correlation

For binary/continuous attributes.

Always $[-1, 1]$

DM 77

CI II

Example: Movie Recommendations

CI chapter 2